# **Measurement of Top Quark Pair Differential Cross-Sections.**

Wolf Behrenhoff on behalf of the CMS collaboration





## **Background determination**

The main background in the dilepton ee and  $\mu\mu$  channels is from Drell-Yan events. It is determined in a data-driven way from the events in the Z mass peak (76 GeV to 106 GeV): , μμ,loose  $N_{out}^{ll} = R_{out/in}^{ll} \left( N_{in}^{ll} - 0.5 N_{in}^{e\mu} k_{ll} \right)$ Nee,loose Û 企  $\overline{\}$ Lepton reconstruction efficiency Number of expected Number of events background events inside Z peak region correction factor to take the difference between muons and electrons into account Number of events in the Ratio from MC: Events outside Z peak over eu channel - inside the events inside the peak region Z mass peak

In the dilepton do/dm<sub>tt</sub> measurement also the QCD background is estimated from data using loosely isolated leptons. In lepton+jets, all background contributions are taken from simulation

## **Cross section calculation**

In each bin of the measurement, the finite experimental resolution can cause migrations across bin boundaries from the bin in which an event was generated to the bin in which it was reconstructed. The binning is chosen such that the purity (migration into a bin) and stability (migration out of a bin) are above 50%. To correct for the migration, a binby-bin efficiency is calculated using MadGraph taking into account the signal efficiency and the unfolding corrections. The cross section is then calculated as:

	$\sigma^i$ cross section in bin i	σ integrated cross section
$1~d\sigma^i = 1~N^i_{ m Data} - N^i_{ m BG}$	$\Delta^i$ width of bin i	$N_{Data}^{i}$ data events in bin i
$\frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{dX}{dX} = \frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{\Delta_{i}^{i}}{\Delta_{i}^{i}}\frac{\epsilon^{i}}{\epsilon^{i}}L$	$\epsilon^i$ efficiency in bin $i$	$N^{i}_{BG}$ background events in bin i
- <u>x</u>	L luminosity	X observable

For the top guark system invariant mass measurement in the dilepton channels the unfolding is done using Singular Value Decomposition (also based on MadGraph). In SVD, a full covariance matrix is used to account for all correlations between bins. Regularisation is used to suppress non-significant components. Comparisons between bin-by-bin and SVD unfolding have produced similar results.

10<sup>0</sup>

 $\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dp_T^{t and \tilde{t}}} \Big[$ 

 $10^{-3}$ 

10<sup>-4</sup>

 $\left[\frac{\text{GeV}}{c}\right]$ 

 $\frac{d\sigma}{dp_T^{t\,and\,\tilde{t}}}$ 

10

10

MadGraph

MC@NLO

- POWHEG

# **Systematic uncertainties**

Systematic uncertainties of the measurement arise from detector effects as well as theoretical uncertainties. Each systematic is investigated separately and determined individually in each bin of each measurement by varying the corresponding input source within its uncertainty. The cross section result is then recalculated and the differences to the nominal result are added in quadrature.

By normalising the differential cross section to the integrated cross section, all flat systematics such as the luminosity cancel out. Other uncertainties are reduced in magnitude as only their shapes contribute.

#### **Dominant uncertainties**

CMS Preliminary, 1.14 fb¹ at√s=7 TeV

-2.5 -2 -1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5

CMS Preliminary, 1.14 fb<sup>-1</sup> at vs=7 TeV

Data

- MadGraph

MC@NLO

- POWHEG

Data

- MadGraph

POWHEG

y<sup>t and</sup>

y<sup>t and i</sup>

 $p_{T}^{fT} \left[ \frac{GeV}{C} \right]$ 

- MC@NLO

e/µ + Jets Combined

**Dilepton Combined** 

0.3

0.2

<del>ل</del>ه في الم

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

- ✓ Jet energy scale
- ✓ Lepton selection
- ✓ b tagging ✓ model uncertainties

# **Results**

 $\frac{1}{2} \frac{d\sigma}{dm_{\#}} \left[ \left( \right) \right]$ 

10

10-4

[(GeV)

원 용 10<sup>-</sup>

10

10

60

#### Lepton + jets channel





### Lepton quantities - lepton + jets channel

Data

e/u + Jets Combined



#### Lepton quantities - dilepton channel

-2.5 -2 -1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5

0.6

0.5

04

0.3

0.2

 $\left[\frac{GeV}{c}\right]$ 

dσ dp<sup>r</sup> and l

10







-2.5 -2 -1.5 -1 -0.5 0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5

### CMS Preliminary, 1.14 fb<sup>-1</sup> at vs=7 TeV GeV **Dilepton Combined** dM<sup>IT</sup> Tip



Data

### **Results from CMS-PAS-TOP-11-013**

CMS Preliminary, 1.14 fb1 at \s=7 TeV

50 100 150 200 250 300 350

CMS Preliminary, 1.14 fb<sup>-1</sup> at vs=7 TeV

Data

- MadGraph

- MC@NLO

- POWHEG

400

400

 $p_T^{t \text{ and } \tilde{t}} \left[ \frac{GeV}{c} \right]$ 

Data

- MadGraph

— MC@NLO

- POWHEG

e/u + Jets Combined

**Dilepton Combined** 

### Why measure many observables?

- ✓ check description of data by QCD predictions
- $\checkmark$  radiation and higher order corrections (tt p<sub>T</sub>)
- ✓ new physics (e.g. in tt mass spectrum)
- ✓ PDF constraints, high-x gluon (e.g. tt rapidity distribution)
- ✓ top quark production is background to BSM searches

### Visible phase space

tc

he detector acc	eptance restricts the measurement
a certain "visib	le" phase space:
epton + jets	Dileptons

#### Bin-centre corrections

The data points have been placed according to the MadGraph simulation at the intersection of the fit to the simulation and the binned simulated red curve.

#### Other predictions

Also shown are results of the **POWHEG** and MC@NLO simulation. The grey error band indicates the uncertainties MC@NLO on PDF, mass of the top quark, and Q<sup>2</sup> scale variation.

Good agreement is found between: ✓ data and simulations ✓ different channels

✓ different standard model

predictions

HELMHOLTZ ASSOCIATION



Universität Hamburg DER FORSCHUNG I DER LEHRE I DER BILDUNG