



Search for supersymmetry with τ leptons in the CMS experiment using MVA approach

Ilya Bobovnikov, Alexis Kalogeropoulos,

Isabell Melzer-Pellmann, Alexei Raspereza, Ceren Güzelgün, on behalf of the CMS collaboration



Outline



- Motivation for the light stau
- Background estimation strategy
- Cut based approach and results for stau search
- MVA (BDT) strategy
- Comparison of Cut based and BDT performance
- Conclusions

Introduction



- LSP co-annihilation with light stau could bring the neutralino relic density to the observed value
- SUSY can explain $\sim 3\sigma$ deviation of muon g 2 from SM prediction \rightarrow light electroweak sector
- Likelihood analysis of experimental constraints predicts light staus arXiv:1710.11091v2





Models and topologies



indirect stau production





Selection (36 /fb of Run 2 2016 data)

- Opposite charge pair of identified isolated leptons
- No additional leptons
- Number of jets \leq 1, number of b-tagged jets = 0

Background estimation

- Z+jets and top pair production: shape from MC is corrected by data in dimuon (mu-el) CR and scaled to normalization from data CR
- Jets misidentified as τ_h (only for $\mu \tau_h$ and $e \tau_h$) and QCD multijet (only for $e \mu$): shape is estimated from data CR and transfer factor is calculated as a ratio of yields in orthogonal CRs
- Other rare backgrounds taken from simulation







- Direct stau production not yet excluded due to low cross section
- For left-handed stau of around 90 GeV and a massless LSP we exclude 1.5 times the expected SUSY cross-section.

DPG, March 21, 2018



DPG, March 21, 2018

7

Variables and strategy



- Use 24% for training, 6% for testing and 70% events for limits evaluation
- BDT will be used only for $\mu \tau_h$ and $e \tau_h$
- Train BDT with the several benchmark stau scenarios (Stau 100,150,200,300 GeV and Lsp 1, 50,100 GeV)
- Optimize BDT options, input variables and search region
- Finally the 13 variables were selected

Correlation Matrix (signal)

	Wor	k in p	rogre	SS				Li	near	corre	elatio	n co	effici	ents	in %	100
JMETPhiL2	10	-49	35	-5	1	9	-19	-7	-14	-63	10	18	-76	100		100
dMETPhiL1	-11	39	-49	-2	-3	-8	-29	-12	-31	72	28	33	100	-76	_	80
MT2lester	28	8	-3	-3	-1	27	-91	-5	-61	37	81	100	33	18		60
MCTb	19	11	-1	6	-1	19	-69	-4	-74	30	100	81	28	10		
MT	33	77	-19	2	-1	39	-32	25	-11	100	30	37	72	-63		40
dR		18	30	-4	1	10	51	47	100	-11	-74	-61	-31	-14		20
Minv	32	71	74		3	59	4	100	47	25	-4	-5	-12	-7		0
Dzeta	-22	-8		8	1	-28	100	4	51	-32	-69	-91	-29	-19		0
MTtot	89	45	70	1	1	100	-28	59	10	39	19	27	-8	9	_	-20
EtaDil		1	2		100	1	1	3	1	-1	-1	-1	-3	1		_40
njets	10	1		100		1	8		-4	2	6	-3	-2	-5		
Lept2Pt	50	18	100		2	70		74	30	-19	-1	-3	-49	35		-60
Lept1Pt	23	100	18	1	1	45	-8	71	18	77	11	8	39	-49	_	-80
met_pt	100	23	50	10		89	-22	32		33	19	28	-11	10		100
	me	t Le	ot hs	pt2βję	ts Eta	ראןסו	tot Pz	eta ^{Mir}	v dR	MT	- MC	тыт	2lest	EPA	ĘTP	- 100

Correlation Matrix (background)

	Woi	rk in p	rogre	SS				Li	near	corre	elatio	n co	effici	ents	in %	100
dMETPhiL2	24	-2	9	2		30	-40	3	-17	-20	19	54	-63	100		100
dMETPhiL1	-28	1	-16	-8		-7	-12	-5	-1	43		4	100	-63		80
MT2lester	43	17	9	12		61	-86	7	-51	44	62	100	4	54		60
MCTb	25	28	17	35		27	-61	6	-81	23	100	62		19		
MT	53	55	8	7		74	-37	33	-10	100	23	44	43	-20	_	40
dR	-16	-5		-30		-11	47	36	100	-10	-81	-51	-1	-17		20
Minv	17	66	61	4		43	-10	100	36	33	6	7	-5	3		~
Dzeta	-23	-23	-10	-11		-46	100	-10	47	-37	-61	-86	-12	-40		0
MTtot	81	48	40	9	-1	100	-46	43	-11	74	27	61	-7	30		-20
EtaDil	-1				100	-1										40
njets	15	14	7	100		9	-11	4	-30	7	35	12	-8	2		-40
Lept2Pt	22	27	100	7		40	-10	61		8	17	9	-16	9	_	-60
Lept1Pt	23	100	27	14		48	-23	66	-5	55	28	17	1	-2	_	-80
met_pt	100	23	22	15	-1	81	-23	17	-16	53	25	43	-28	24		
	me	+ Le	nt Lei	nt Die	ata Eta	M	r, D>	⊶ Mir	, dR	Мл	- Mc	~_M7	ra, dh	r-dM		-10
	Č	-pt	-app	2Pf	10 TO	Ullin	101	-la [.] "	V			16.	<lest< td=""><td>er Ph</td><td>ς PI</td><td>nil o</td></lest<>	er Ph	ς PI	nil o

BDT training

- BDT output comparison between ۲ training and test samples shows no overtraining
- Binning, the most sensitive last bin, ۲ is selected by significance study

$$Z_{\rm A} = \left[2 \left((s+b) \ln \left[\frac{(s+b)(b+\sigma_b^2)}{b^2 + (s+b)\sigma_b^2} \right] - \frac{b^2}{\sigma_b^2} \ln \left[1 + \frac{\sigma_b^2 s}{b(b+\sigma_b^2)} \right] \right) \right]^{1/2}$$





DPG, March 21, 2018

BDT Stau200 LSP1

0.1 0.2 03

 $\widetilde{\tau}_{r}(90,1)$

 $\tilde{\tau}_{r}$ (100,50)

TTJets

 $DY \rightarrow \tau \tau$

ww

1......

-0.3 -0.2 -0 -0

DY→uu/ee

 $\tilde{\tau}_{r}(100,1)$

Rest

- des

 $\widetilde{\tau}_{r}(200,1)$

singleTop

Jet→τ-Fakes



- For now BDT sensitivity is better (comparable) than for cut based approach → we will combine mutau and eltau channels
- Work in progress



Conclusion

- CMS
- Cut based search for direct and indirect tau production is being developed and was approved SUS-17-002
- This search is not sensitive to direct stau production
- To enhance sensitivity BDT approach is being developed
- Plan to get better sensitivity for BDT than for cut based approach and include 2017 data







MVA efficiency





Cut value applied on KNN output

Including ANN and DNN





DNN (only after root - 6.08.00)



ANN vs BDT



BDT





BDT is still better

Ilya Bobovnikov

BDT



NTrees

Number of trees in the forest 600, 800, 1000, 1200, 1400, 1600

• MaxDonth

MaxDepth

Max depth of the decision tree allowed

3, <mark>5</mark>, 7, 9

MinNodeSize

Minimum percentage of training events required in a leaf node

<mark>2%</mark>, 3%,5%

nCuts

Number of grid points in variable range used in finding optimal cut in node

splitting

20, 25, 30, <mark>35</mark>, 40, 50

• BoostType

Boosting type for the trees in the forest

AdaBoost, RealAdaBoost

$$Z_{\rm A} = \left[2 \left((s+b) \ln \left[\frac{(s+b)(b+\sigma_b^2)}{b^2 + (s+b)\sigma_b^2} \right] - \frac{b^2}{\sigma_b^2} \ln \left[1 + \frac{\sigma_b^2 s}{b(b+\sigma_b^2)} \right] \right) \right]^{1/2}$$









 Signal points MC with enough statystics to avoid overtraining were produced

 Increase in sensitivity 		Cuts	BDT	
	stau100	0.22	1.05	
	stau150	0.4	0.9	
	stau200	0.41	0.56	
	stau300	0.21	0.3	

Could be used in our analysis



Slection $\mu \tau_h$, $e \tau_h$, $e \mu$



Event selection

- Two opposite sign (OS) leptons ($\mu\tau$, $e\tau$, or $e\mu$) with $\Delta R > 0.3$ and no additional leptons
- $n_{\rm jet} < 2$ and $n_{\rm b-tag} = 0$
- $M_{\ell_1\ell_2} > 50 \text{ GeV}$ and $M_{\text{Tsum}} > 50 \text{ GeV}$ (low mass-resonances rejection)
- 20 < $M_{\rm T}$ < 60 GeV or $M_{\rm T}$ > 120 GeV ($\mu\tau$, e τ channel) (W+jets rejection)
- $90 < M_{\mu e} < 250 \text{ GeV} (Z+\text{jets rejection})$
- $P_T(\ell_i) < 200 \text{ GeV} (i=e,\mu, \text{ for the } e\mu \text{ channel only})$
- $|d_z(\ell_i)| < 0.2 \text{ cm}, |d_{xy}(\ell_i)| < 0.045 \text{ cm} \text{ (non-prompt } \ell \text{ background rejection)}$
- $\Delta \eta(J_0, \ell_{1,2}) < 3$ (e τ and $\mu \tau$ channels, 1-jet category only)
- $\Delta R(J_0, \tau) < 4$ (e τ and $\mu\tau$ channels, 1-jet category only)

Likelihood analysis



Search bins



					Bin name	$p_T^{miss}[GeV]$	$M_{\rm T2}$ [GeV]	Dζ [GeV]	n _{jet}
Bin name	p _T ^{miss} [GeV]	M_{T2} [GeV]	Dζ [GeV]	niet	p _T ^{miss} _A M _{T2A} Dζ _B _	<40	<40	<-150	1
$p_T^{miss} M_{T2A} D\zeta_{B-}$	<40	<40	<-100	0	$p_T^{miss}{}_A M_{T2A} D\zeta_B$			[-150,100]	
$p_T^{miss} A M_{T2B+} D\zeta_{A+}$	1	>40	>-500	t	$p_T^{miss} M_{T2A} D\zeta_{D+}$			>0	
$p_T^{miss} R M_{T2A} D \zeta_{R-}$	[40,80]	<40	<-100	t	$p_T^{miss} A M_{T2A+} D \zeta_{A+}$		>40	>-500	
$p_T^{miss} R M_{T2A} D \zeta_F$			>50	t	$p_T^{mss} B M_{T2A} D \zeta_{B-}$	[40,80]	10	<-100	
$D_T^{miss} P M_{T2} P D \zeta_P$	1	[40.80]	<-100	ł	$p_T^{mss} B M_{T2A} D \zeta_E$		<40	>50	
$D_T^{miss} = M_{T2B} D\zeta_{C\perp}$	1		>-100	ł	$p_T^{\text{miss}} B M_{T2B} D \zeta_{B-}$		[40,80]	<-100	
$D_T^{miss} P M_{T2} C + D(A)$	1	>80	>-500	ł	$p_T^{\text{miss}} B M_{T2B} D \zeta_{C+}$			>-100	
$p_T^{miss} C M_{T2} A D C P$	[80,120]	<40	<-100	ł	$p_T^{mas} B N_{T2} B + D\zeta_{A+}$	100 1001	>80	>-500	-
p ^{miss} c M _{T2} ADZ c	[00,110]		>-100	ł	$p_T^{miss} C N_{T2A} D \zeta_{B-}$	[80,120]	<40	<-100	-
$p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} c M_{\rm T2} p_{\rm D}^{\rm m} p_{\rm T}$	1	[40.80]	<-150	ł	$p_T^{miss} M D^{7}$		[40,80]	<-150	ŀ
p ^{miss} c MT2 pD(A)	1	[.0,00]	>-150	ł	$p_{T} c_{V_{T2}B} D_{SA+}$		[90, 100]	>-150	-
D ^{miss} C Mrz P J D(A)	1	>80	>-500	ł	$p_T^{miss} = M_{miss} = D^7$		[00,120]	>-500	-
$p_1^{miss} p_2^{miss} p_3^{miss} p_4^{miss} p_5^{miss} p_5^{miss$	[120 250]	<40	<-100	ł	$p_T c_{VT2E}D_{SA+}$	[120.250]	>120	>-500	ŀ
p ^{miss} pMm AD(c)	[120,200]		>-100	ł	$p_T DW_{12A}DSB_{B}$	[120,250]	<40	L150_100	·
$p_{\text{miss}}^{\text{miss}} p_{\text{map}}^{\text{miss}} p_{\text{map}}^{\text{map}} D_{\text{map}}^{\text{map}}$	1	[40,80]	<-150	ł	$p_T DW_{12A}D\zeta_B$			<u>[-130,-100]</u>	ł
$p_{\text{miss}}^{\text{miss}} = M_{\text{map}} D_{\text{map}}^{\text{miss}}$	{	[10,00]	[-150 -100]	ł	$p_T^{miss} p_T^{miss} p_T^{mis} p_T^{miss} p_T^{miss} p_T^{miss} p_T^{miss} p_T^{miss}$		[40,80]	<-150	ł
	{		>-100	ł	D ^{miss} D Mran D(n		[10,00]	[-150,-100]	-
$p_T^{miss} p_T m_c D_{(A)}$	1	[80 100]	>-500	ł	p ^{miss} p MrapDZC+			>-100	·
n ^{miss} pMrp pD(A)	{	[100,100]	>-500	ł	$p_T^{miss} p_T M_{T2} C D\zeta_{4+}$		[80.100]	>-500	-
$p_T^{miss} p_T^{miss} p_T^{mis} p_T^{miss} p_T^{miss} p_T^{miss} p_T^{miss} p_T^{miss}$	{	>120	>-500	ł	$p_T^{miss} p M_{T2} p D \zeta_{A+}$		[80,120]	>-500	
D ^{miss} - Mrs A D7 A	>250	>0	>-500	ł	$p_T^{miss} D M_{T2F} D \zeta_{A+}$		>120	>-500	
PT Em12A+05A+	/200	<i>_</i>	/ 000	<u> </u>	$p_T^{miss} = M_{T2C+} D\zeta_{A+}$	>250	>80	>-500	

Table 9: Definition of combine	d search bins to be used fo	or easier reinterpretation of the results.
--------------------------------	-----------------------------	--

n _{jet}	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$	M_{T2}	Bkg ($e\tau_h$)	Obs. $(e\tau_h)$	Bkg ($\mu \tau_{\rm h}$)	Obs $(\mu \tau_h)$	Bkg (eµ)	Obs $(e\mu)$
0	> 120 GeV	> 120 GeV	$4.9 \pm 1.5 \pm 1.9$	4	$5.8\pm1.8\pm2.7$	7.0	$6.8 \pm 2.2 \pm 2.7$	6
1	> 120 GeV	> 100 GeV	$10.8 \pm 2.1 \pm 2.5$	9	$14.4\pm2.5\pm3.1$	14	$9.7\pm2.4\pm3.0$	6
1	> 250 GeV	> 80 GeV	$1.6\pm0.9\pm1.2$	0	$1.5\pm0.9\pm1.1$	1	$3.3\pm2.0\pm2.3$	1

Ilya Bobovnikov



DPG, March 21, 2018

Ilva Bobovnikov

DĽ [GeV]

1000

800

Search bins



					Bin name	$p_T^{miss}[GeV]$	$M_{\rm T2}$ [GeV]	<i>Dζ</i> [GeV]	n _{jet}
Bin name	p ^{miss} [GeV]	MT2 [GeV]	DZ [GeV]	Diet	p _T ^{miss} _A M _{T2A} Dζ _B _	<40	<40	<-150	1
$p_T^{miss} M_{T2A} D \zeta_{B-}$	<40	<40	<-100	0	$p_T^{miss}{}_AM_{T2A}D\zeta_B$			[-150,100]	
$p_T^{miss} A M_{T2} B_+ D \zeta_{A+}$		>40	>-500		$p_T^{miss} {}_A M_{T2A} D\zeta_{D+}$			>0	
$D_T^{miss} R M_{T2} A D \zeta_{R-}$	[40.80]	<40	<-100		$p_T^{miss}_A M_{T2A+} D\zeta_{A+}$		>40	>-500	
$p_T^{miss} = M_{T2A} D \zeta_E$			>50		$p_T^{mss}_B M_{T2A} D\zeta_{B-}$	[40,80]		<-100	
$p_T^{miss} P_T p_T D \zeta_P$	1	[40.80]	<-100		$p_T^{mss} B M_{T2A} D \zeta_E$		<40	>50	
$p_T^{miss} = M_{T2B} D\zeta_{C+}$	1		>-100		$p_T^{miss} {}_B M_{T2B} D\zeta_{B-}$		[40,80]	<-100	
$D_T^{miss} = M_{T2}C + D\zeta_{A+}$	1	>80	>-500		$p_T^{\text{miss}} B M_{T2B} D \zeta_{C+}$			>-100	
$p_{T}^{miss} c M_{TD} A D \zeta_{P}$	[80 120]	<40	<-100		$p_T^{\text{miss}} B M_{T2B+} D \zeta_{A+}$	F00 4001	>80	>-500	
p ^{miss} c Mro AD(c)	[00,120]		>-100		$p_T^{miss} C M_{T2A} D \zeta_{B-}$	[80,120]	<40	<-100	
$p_{T}^{miss} c M_{TD} p_{TD}^{TD}$	-	[40 80]	<-150		$p_T^{mas} C M_{T2} B D \zeta B_{-}$		[40,80]	<-150	
$p_T c_{m_{12}B} b_{5B}$	-	[10,00]	>-150		$p_T^{mas} C N_{T2B} D \zeta_{A+}$		[00.100]	>-150	
p ^{miss} c Mm p. D7 A	-	<u>>80</u>	>-100		$p_T^{mas} c N_{T2} c D \zeta_{A+}$		[80,120]	>-500	
$p_T c_{M_{12}B+D_{5}A+}$	[120.250]	<u>_00</u>	/ 100		$\frac{p_T^{miss} c_{IVI_{T2}E} D \zeta_{A+}}{miss} M D Z$	[100.050]	>120	>-500	ŀ
$p_T DW_{12A}DSB_{-}$	[120,250]	<40	> 100		$p_T^{miss} M D^7$	[120,250]	<40	<-150	ŀ
$p_T Divi_{T2A}D_{5C+}$	-	[40 00]	>-100		$p_T = D N T_{2A} D \zeta_B$			[-150,-100]	ŀ
$p_T Divit2BDSB_$	-	[40,00]	<-100 [150 100]		$p_T = DVr_{T2A}D\zeta_{C+}$		[40 00]	>-100	
$p_{T} = D W_{T2B} D \zeta_{B}$	-		[-150,-100]		$p_{T}^{miss} M D^{7}$		[40,00]	<-100 [150 100]	
$p_T = D N_{T2B} D \zeta_{C+}$		100 1001	>-100		$p_{T}^{miss} M = D^{7}$			[-150,-100]	ŀ
$p_T^{miss} D M_{T2} C D \zeta_{A+}$		[80,100]	>-500		$p_T DiviteBDGC+$		[00 100]	>-100	·
$p_T^{miss} D M_{T2} D \zeta_{A+}$	4	[100,120]	>-500		$p_T Divr_2 C D \zeta_{A+}$		[00,100]	>-500	ł
$p_T^{mss} D M_{T2E} D \zeta_{A+}$	050	>120	>-500		$p_T = D V r_2 D U \zeta_{A+}$		[80,120] >120	>-500	ŀ
$p_T^{mss} E M_{T2A+} D \zeta_{A+}$	>250	>0	>-500		$p_T = D_{VT2E} D_{SA+}$	>250	>120	>-500	

Table 9: Definition of combined search bins to be used for easier reinterpretation of the results.

n _{jet}	$p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}$	M_{T2}	Bkg ($e\tau_h$)	Obs. $(e\tau_h)$	Bkg ($\mu \tau_{\rm h}$)	Obs $(\mu \tau_h)$	Bkg (eµ)	Obs $(e\mu)$
0	> 120 GeV	> 120 GeV	$4.9\pm1.5\pm1.9$	4	$5.8\pm1.8\pm2.7$	7.0	$6.8 \pm 2.2 \pm 2.7$	6
1	> 120 GeV	> 100 GeV	$10.8\pm2.1\pm2.5$	9	$14.4\pm2.5\pm3.1$	14	$9.7\pm2.4\pm3.0$	6
1	> 250 GeV	> 80 GeV	$1.6\pm0.9\pm1.2$	0	$1.5\pm0.9\pm1.1$	1	$3.3\pm2.0\pm2.3$	1

Ilya Bobovnikov

DESY





DPG, March 21, 2018

Ilya Bobovnikov

23

DESY





24